Records request of Oct. 23, 2026, and replies

From: Neal Costanzo <neal@costanzolaw.com>
Date: Fri, Oct. 23, 2026, 10:01 AM
To: Kristi [redacted]
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request — Robert Dutcher

That is simply not correct. As I read the responses that I've sent the exemptions are all encompassing and the statutes are cited. It is obvious who made the decision
Sent from my iPhone

From: Kristi [redacted] (Director Christine Silva)
Date: Fri, Oct. 23, 2026, 9:05 AM
To: Neal Costanzo <neal@costanzolaw.com>
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request — Robert Dutcher

Neal, you never provided the requirement that... If any record or portion of a record is withheld, please provide the reasonably segregable remainder (section 7922.525) and a written response identifying the exemption relied on and the person responsible for the decision (section 7922.540).

Christine

From: Neal Costanzo <neal@costanzolaw.com>
Date: Fri, Oct. 23, 2026, 9:02 AM
To: "Jason Franklin" <jdf-pcwd@sbcglobal.net>
Cc: "Mr. Rodriguez" [redacted], "Alex P. Valdez" [redacted], "Brad Peranick" [redacted], "Kristi" [redacted], "Robert Dutcher" <robert@dutcher.tv>, "Michael Slater" <mike@costanzolaw.com>, "JoAnn Vierra" [redacted]
Subject: Re: PCWD

We don't respond to the same public records act request more than once. This is a conglomerate of multiple requests he has made previously that I have responded to before. No further response is necessary
Sent from my iPhone

From: Jason Franklin <jdf-pcwd@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Fri, Oct. 23, 2026, 7:07 AM
To: "Neal Costanzo" <neal@costanzolaw.com>, "Mr. Rodriguez" [redacted], "Alex P. Valdez" [redacted], "Brad Peranick" [redacted], "Kristi" [redacted], "Robert Dutcher" <robert@dutcher.tv>, "Mike Slater" <mike@costanzolaw.com>

Cc: "JoAnn Vierra" [redacted]
Subject: PCWD

To all,

Just so the board is aware, Director Dutcher is making this request

Begin forwarded message:

From: Robert Dutcher <robert@dutcher.tv>
Date: Fri, Oct. 23, 2026, 2:23 AM
To: Jason PCWd <jdf-pcwd@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: California Public Records Act Request — Robert Dutcher

Jason,

[redacted] That is consistent with Government Code section 7921.305, which provides that an elected member or officer of a local agency is entitled to access the agency's public records on the same basis as any other person.

On that basis, I am resubmitting the records requests I sent in August 2026, updated and narrowed below, together with several additional items, under the California Public Records Act (Government Code section 7920.000 et seq.). I am requesting copies of the following records:

1. Court records. Copies of the following court records held by the district or by counsel on its behalf:

(a) In Kings County No. 18C-0051, all judgments, orders and writs, and all documents filed by either party, from January 1, 2022 to the present, including the Second Amended Judgment filed December 27, 2022; the order filed July 30, 2024; the tentative ruling of February 5, 2026; the order filed July 1, 2026; and the declarations filed by the district in support of its motions for payment in installments.

(b) In Fifth District Court of Appeal Nos. F064112, F064758, F080769, F088121, F089743, F091532 and F092189, and California Supreme Court No. S275398: the notices of appeal, the briefs and appendices filed by each party, any petitions for rehearing or review, the opinions and orders, and the remittiturs.

2. Agreements with the City of Fresno. Any settlement agreement, stipulation or payment plan between the district and the City of Fresno relating to the sewer agreement, the audit, or the judgment.

3. Correspondence with the City of Fresno. Letters, emails and notices exchanged between the district (or counsel on its behalf) and the City of Fresno (or its counsel) about the judgment, payments, the audit, or a payment plan, from January 1, 2022 to the present.

4. Judgment payments. Any resolution, minutes or other record in which the board approved paying the City of Fresno judgment in installments, and records of the payments made to the City under that judgment.

5. Sewer surcharge. Records showing how the sewer surcharge adopted in 2023 was calculated, including the judgment amount and any legal costs it was intended to cover.

6. WAS water surcharge. Records showing why and how the WAS water surcharge was adopted, including any staff reports, studies or calculations.

7. Financial reports. The monthly bills payable lists and budget-to-actual reports for July 2025 through the present.

8. Rate studies. Complete copies of the district's three most recent water rate studies, including appendices, the Proposition 218 notices mailed to customers, and the board resolutions adopting the resulting rates.

9. Audits. The district's audited financial statements, including any auditor's management letters, for fiscal years 2015-16 through 2024-25.

10. Elections boundary matter. The public records request(s) the district sent to the Fresno County Registrar of Voters or the County regarding district boundaries or elections in 2025 or 2026, and the records the district received in response.

11. Power and backup power for district wells and facilities.

(a) PG&E bills for all district accounts, January 2020 to the present.

(b) Records of power outages affecting district wells or facilities, January 2020 to the present.

(c) Any studies, assessments, cost estimates, staff reports or board packet materials concerning backup or emergency power for district wells or facilities.

I am not requesting security-sensitive details such as system configurations or vulnerability assessments; if a record contains them, please provide the remaining portions.

If any record or portion of a record is withheld, please provide the reasonably segregable remainder (section 7922.525) and a written response identifying the exemption relied on and the person responsible for the decision (section 7922.540). I would prefer electronic copies where the records exist in electronic form (section 7922.570).

Please let me know within 10 days whether the district has responsive records (section 7922.535). I'm happy to discuss prioritizing any item if that would help.

Thank you,

Robert Dutcher

robert@dutcher.tv

Transcribed from the email messages as received; newest first. The 9:05 and 10:01 AM messages, between Director Christine Silva and district counsel, are posted with Director Silva's permission. Personal email addresses are blacked out. One sentence of the request is withheld pending confirmation of the meeting record.